arXiv:1601.04309v2 [math.RT] 30 Oct 2016

A NOTE ON PATH A_∞-ALGEBRAS OF POSITIVELY GRADED QUIVERS

HAO SU

Abstract. Let A be a path A_∞-algebra over a positively graded quiver Q. It is proved that the derived category of A is triangulated equivalent to the derived category of kQ, which is viewed as a dg algebra with trivial differential. The main technique used in the proof is *Koszul duality*.

1. Introduction

A_∞-algebras, invented by J. stasheff [12], are natural generalization of associative algebras. In this note, we discuss a specific class of A_∞-algebras obtained from quivers, which we call path A_∞-algebras. Throughout k is a field. Let Q be a finite positively graded quiver and kQ its path algebra. By a path A_∞-algebra over Q it is meant a minimal strictly unital A_∞-algebra over kQ_0 whose underlying associative graded algebra is kQ. We say that an augmented A_∞-algebra A is quasi-equivalent to a dg algebra B if the enveloping algebra U of A is quasi-equivalent to B as dg algebras (see [5, section 7]). Our main result is as follows.

Theorem. *Let A be a path A_∞-algebra over a finite positively graded quiver Q. Then A is quasi-equivalent to kQ which is viewed as a dg algebra with trivial differential. In particular, the derived category of A is triangulated equivalent to the derived category of kQ.*

The motivation for this result is the following consequence, which concerns the uniqueness of triangulated structures on a given additive category. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a compactly generated (k-linear) algebraic triangulated category and T a compact generator of $\mathcal{T}$. Let $A_\mathcal{T}$ denote the graded algebra with underlying graded vector space $\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} Hom_\mathcal{T}(T, \sum^n T)$ and whose multiplication is induced by the composition of morphisms in $\mathcal{T}$.

Corollary. *Keep the above notations and assumptions. If $A_\mathcal{T}$ is isomorphic to the path algebra of a finite positively graded quiver, then $\mathcal{T}$ is triangulated equivalent to the derived category of $A_\mathcal{T}$, which is viewed as a dg algebra with trivial differential.*

2. A_∞-algebras

In this section we review some of the standard facts on A_∞-algebras. Most of the following definitions and results come from [8]. A good introduction on this subject is Keller's paper [6]. Let $R := \prod_{i=1}^n k$ be a finite product of k. For simplicity we use the unadorned $\otimes$ to denote the tensor product over R. Here is the definition:

Definition 2.1. [8, Definition 1.2.1.1] An *A_∞-algebra over R* is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded R-bimodule $A = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} A^n$ (we do not require that the left and right R-module structures coincide) endowed with a family of homomorphisms of graded R-bimodules

Date: November 1, 2016.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18E30,16E45.
Supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China, no 11431010 and 11571329.

$m_n\colon A^{\otimes n}\to A, n\geq 1$, of degree $2-n$ satisfying the following identities (Stasheff identities):

$$\sum_{r+s+t=n}(-1)^{rs+t}m_{r+1+t}(id_A^{\otimes r}\otimes m_s\otimes id_A^{\otimes t})=0,$$

where $r,t\geq 0$ and $s\geq 1$.

Remark 2.2. Our definition of A_∞-algebras is more general than the usual definition (which requires that left and right graded module structure of A over R coincide, see for example [11, Definition 2.1]), but fits in the general framework of lefevre in [8]. He deals with A_∞-algebras in a monoidal abelian category $(\mathcal{C},\odot)$, which is assumed to be k-linear semisimple cocomplete with exact filtered colimits such that for any object $M\in\mathcal{C}$, the functors $M\odot ?$ and $?\odot M$ are exact and commute with filtered colimits. Our A_∞-algebras in Definition 2.1 are exact the A_∞ algebra in the category of graded R-bimodules.

Definition 2.3. [8, Definition 1.2.1.2] A *morphism* of A_∞-algebras $f\colon A\to A'$ is a family of homomorphisms of graded R-bimodules $f_n\colon A^{\otimes n}\to A'$, $n\geq 1$ of degree $1-n$ satisfying the following identities:

$$\sum_{r+s+t=n}(-1)^{rs+t}f_{r+1+t}(id^{\otimes r}\otimes m_s\otimes id^{\otimes t})=\sum_{\substack{1\leq p\leq n\\ i_1+\ldots+i_p=n}}(-1)^{\omega}m_p(f_{i_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes f_{i_p}),$$

where $s\geq 1; r,t\geq 0$ and $\omega=\sum_{1\leq x<y\leq p}i_xi_y+i_1(p-1)+i_2(p-2)+\ldots+i_{p-1}$.

By definition, f_1 is a morphism of complexes. We say that an A_∞-morphism f is a *quasi-isomorphism* if f_1 is a quasi-isomorphism of complexes.

An A_∞-morphism f is said to be *strict* if $f_i=0$ for $i>1$. An A_∞-algebra A is *strictly unital* if there is a strict morphism $\eta\colon R\to A$ of A_∞-algebras (which is called the *unit*) such that $m_n(id_A\otimes\cdots\otimes id_A\otimes\eta\otimes id_A\otimes\cdots\otimes id_A)=0$ for $n\neq 2$ and $m_2(\eta\otimes id_A)=id_A=m_2(id_A\otimes\eta)$. Morphisms between strictly unital A_∞-algebras are required to preserve units. A strictly unital A_∞-algebra is *augmented* if it is endowed with a strict morphism $\epsilon\colon A\to R$ of strictly unital A_∞-algebras (which is called an *augmentation*) such that $\epsilon\circ\eta=id_R$. The graded R-bimodule $\bar{A}=\mathrm{Ker}\epsilon$ is called the *augmentation ideal* of A. Morphisms between augmented A_∞-algebras are also required to preserve augmentation. It is easy to see the restrictions of $m_n\prime s$ on $\bar{A}$ make $\bar{A}$ an A_∞-algebra which is not unital in general.

Given a graded R-bimodule M, we denote by sM the graded R-bimodule which has the same underlying bimodule structure as M but with a new grading given by $(sM)^n=M^{n+1}$. We use the notation 's' to denote the map $M\to sM$ of degree -1 which is the identity map when forgetting the grading. Let (A,m_n) be an augmented A_∞-algebra. Define $d_n\colon(s\bar{A})^{\otimes_R n}\to s\bar{A}$ by $d_n=-s\circ m_n\circ(s^{-1})^{\otimes_R n}$, then $\bigoplus_{n>0}d_n$ induces a *coderivation* d of degree 1 on the tensor coalgebra $T_R(s\bar{A})$. In fact, it is proved in [12] that d is a differential on $T_R(s\bar{A})$ and $(T_R(s\bar{A}),d)$ is an augmented dg coalgebra, which is called the *bar construction* for A and denoted by BA.

A_∞-algebras are closely related to dg algebras. On the one hand, it is easy to see that a dg algebra over R is just an A_∞-algebra with m_n's vanishing for $n\geq 3$. On the other hand, as the following proposition shows, any augmented A_∞-algebra is A_∞-quasi-isomorphic to a dg algebra.

Proposition 2.4 ([8, lemma 2.3.4.3])**.** *For an augmented A_∞-algebra A, there is an augmented dg algebra U (called the* enveloping algebra *of A) and a natural A_∞-quasi-isomorphism $f\colon A\to U$ which preserves augmentation.*

Remark 2.5. There is a construction dual to bar construction, named by *cobar* construction, which is a functor from the category of augmented dg coalgebras to the category of augmented dg algebras. Let C be an augmented dg coalgebra, the cobar construction of C is denoted by ΩC. The enveloping algebra U defined in Proposition 2.4 of an augmented A_∞-algebra A is actually defined by ΩBA.

An A_∞-algebra (A, m_i) is said to be *minimal* if $m_1 = 0$. If A is minimal, then (A, m_2) is an associative graded algebra. Let A be an A_∞-algebra, a minimal model of A is an minimal A_∞-algebra A' together with an A_∞-quasi-isomorphism $f : A' \to A$. The following lemma shows that an augmented A_∞-algebra admits a minimal model which is still augmented.

Lemma 2.6. *Let A be an augmented A_∞-algebra over R. Then there is a minimal augmented A_∞-algebra A' and an A_∞-quasi-isomorphism $f\colon A' \to A$ which is strictly unital and preserves augmentation.*

Proof. Since A is an augmented A_∞-algebra, A is a direct sum of two A_∞-algebras R and $\bar{A}$. By [8, Proposition 3.2.4.1] (see also [3]), there is a minimal A_∞-algebra $\bar{A}'$ and an A_∞-quasi-isomorphism $f\colon \bar{A}' \to \bar{A}$ ($\bar{A}'$ is a minimal model of $\bar{A}$). Then the augmented A_∞-algebra $A' = R \oplus \bar{A}'$ satisfies the desired properties. □

Definition 2.7 ([8, Definition 2.3.1.1])**.** Let A be an A_∞-algebra over R. A (right) A_∞-module M over A is a graded right R-module $M = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} M^n$ endowed with a family of homomorphisms of graded right R-modules $m_n^M : M\otimes A^{\otimes n-1} \to M, n \geq 1$, of degree $2 - n$ satisfying the following identities (Stasheff identities):

$$\sum_{r+s+t=n} (-1)^{rs+t} m_{r+1+t}(id_A^{\otimes r} \otimes m_s \otimes id_A^{\otimes t}) = 0,$$

where $r, t \geq 0$ and $s \geq 1$.

Let A be a strictly unital A_∞-algebra, an A_∞-module M over A is strictly unital if

$$m_i^M(id_M \otimes id_A, \ldots, id_A \otimes \eta \otimes id_A, \ldots, id_A) = 0$$

for $i \geq 3$ and $m_2^M(id_M \otimes \eta) = id_M$. For more details on A_∞-modules we refer to [8, Section 2.3] and [6, Section 4]. Let A be an augmented A_∞-algebra over R and $Mod_\infty(A)$ be the the category of strictly unital A_∞-modules over A with strictly unital A_∞-morphisms as morphisms. The *derived category* $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is the category obtained from $Mod_\infty(A)$ by formally inverting all A_∞-quasi-isomorphisms. The category $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is a triangulated category whose suspension functor is the shift functor [1].

Remark 2.8. If A is a dg algebra which is unital over R, a (right) unital dg module M over A can be viewed as an strictly unital A_∞-module over A with $m_n^M = 0$ for $n \geq 3$. By [8, Lemme 4.1.3.8], the derived category of dg A-modules is canonically equivalent to the derived category of strictly unital A_∞-modules over A. We will identify these two derived categories. Let M, N be two right dg modules over A. The complex (over k) $\mathcal{H}om_A(M, N)$ of homomorphisms from M to N over A is defined as follows. The component $\mathcal{H}om_A^i(M, N)$ consists of all homogeneous maps of right R-modules $f\colon M \to N$ of degree i such that $f(ma) = f(m)a$ for all $A \in A$ and $m \in M$. The differential d in $\mathcal{H}om_A(M, N)$ is defined by $d(f) = d_N \circ f - (-1)^{|f|} f \circ d_M$. We use $\mathcal{E}nd_A(M)$ denote $\mathcal{H}om_A(M, M)$.

The following propositions will be used in the proof of Lemma 3.8.

Proposition 2.9 ([8, Theorem 4.1.2.4])**.** *Let $f\colon A' \to A$ be an A_∞-mophism of augmented A_∞-algebras. Then f induces a triangulated functor $R_f\colon D_\infty(A) \to D_\infty(A')$. If f is an A_∞-quasi-isomorphism, then R_f is a triangulated equivalence.*

Proposition 2.10 ([8, Proposition 3.3.1.7])**.** *Let A be a strictly unital A_∞-algebra, and M a strictly unital A_∞-module over A. Then there is a strictly unital minimal A_∞-module M' over A together with a strictly unital quasi-isomorphism of A_∞-modules from M' to M.*

3. Path A_∞-algebra on a positively graded quiver

In this section, we will introduce the definition of path A_∞-algebras over a finite positively graded quiver and prove the main theorem.

Definition 3.1. A *positively graded quiver* Q is a finite graded quiver whose arrows are in positive degrees and vertices are in degree 0. We use Q_0 denote the set of vertices and Q_1 the set of arrows.

Let Q be a positively graded quiver and kQ the path algebra. The subalgebra kQ_0 is clearly a separable commutative algebra over k. Denote by $u\colon kQ_0 \to kQ$ the canonical embedding and $\varepsilon\colon kQ \to kQ_0$ the canonical projection.

Definition 3.2. A *path A_∞-algebra* over a positively graded quiver Q is defined to be a minimal, strictly unital A_∞-algebra over kQ_0 with underlying associative graded algebra kQ and the unit map $(u_1 = u, 0, \ldots)$.

Remark 3.3. Let $A = (kQ, m_2, \ldots)$ be a path A_∞-algebra over a positively graded quiver Q. Since A concentrated in nonnegative degrees and the 0th degree is kQ_0, the canonical projection $(\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon, 0, \ldots)\colon A \to kQ_0$ makes A an augmented A_∞-algebra over kQ_0.

Here are two examples, the first one is a path A_∞-algebra and the second is not.

Example 3.4. The graded quiver Q is

$$4 \xrightarrow{\gamma} 3 \xrightarrow{\beta} 2 \xrightarrow{\alpha} 1, \qquad 4 \xrightarrow{\tau} 1$$

with $|\alpha| = |\beta| = |\gamma| = 1$, $|\tau| = 2$ and $|e_1|, |e_2|, |e_3|, |e_4| = 0$. The A_∞-structure on kQ is defined as follows. $m_n = 0$ for $n \neq 2, 3$ and m_2 is the usual multiplication of kQ. For m_3, we define it on the basis elements by $m_3(x, y, z) = \tau$ if $(x, y, z) = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ and $m_3(x, y, z) = 0$ otherwise. This A_∞ structure is well defined.

The next example is constructed by [9, Section 5].

Example 3.5. The graded quiver Q is

$$2 \xrightarrow{\alpha} 1$$

with $|\alpha| = 1$. Let $e = e_1 - e_2$ then $e \cdot \alpha = \alpha = -\alpha \cdot e$ and $e^2 = 1$. The A_∞-structure on kQ is defined as follows. $m_n = 0$ for $n \neq 2, 3$ and m_2 is the usual multiplication of kQ. For m_3, we defined it on basis elements by, $m_3(x, y, z) = \alpha$ if $(x, y, z) = (\alpha, e, \alpha)$ and $m_3(x, y, z) = 0$ otherwise. Since $m_3(\alpha, e, \alpha) \neq 0$ which means (kQ, m_2, m_3) is not strictly unital over kQ_0, so it is not a path A_∞-algebras under definition 3.2.

The technique to prove the main theorem is Koszul duality for dg algebras, so here I give a brief introduction (see also [5, Section 10]). Let R be the direct product of n copies of k and $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ be the standard basis of R over k. Assume A is an augmented dg algebra over R. Then R is a dg bimodule via the augmentation map over A. We define a dg category $\mathcal{A}$ whose set of objects is $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ and for any two objects e_i, e_j the homomorphism space $\mathcal{A}(e_i, e_j)$ is defined by $e_j A e_i$. A right dg A-module can be viewed as a right dg module over $\mathcal{A}$ and vice versa. This implies

a canonical triangulated equivalence between the two derived categories $\mathcal{D}(A)$ and $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$. Let $\{S_1, \ldots, S_n\}$ be the collection of simple dg submodules of R over A such that $S_i e_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$ and $S_i e_i \cong k$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is an augmented dg category in the sence [5, section 10.2]. A *Koszul dual* A^* of A is defined as the endomorphism algebra $\mathcal{E}nd_A(P_A)$ of a homotopically projective resolution P_A of $R = S_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus s_n$. Keller proved that the Koszul dual of A does not rely on the choice of homotopically projective resolution of R up to *quasi-equivalence*. Here a quasi-equivalence $A \to B$ of dg algebras is a dg A-B-bimocule X together with an element $x \in Z^0X$ such that the maps $A \to X, a \mapsto ax$ and $B \to X, b \mapsto xb$ are quasi-isomorphisms. A special case of quasi-equivalence if there is a quasi-isomorphism of dg algebra $A \to B$. If two dg algebras are quasi-equivalence, their derived categories are triangulated equivalence ([5, Section 7.3]).

Keep the above assumptions and let M be a right dg module over A. Let $pdimM$ (resp. $idimM$) denote the *projective dimension* (resp. the *injective dimension*) of M (see [5, Section 10.4]). For a graded k-vector space M, let $D(M)$ denote the graded k-dual of M which is defined by $D(M)^n = Hom_k(M_R^{-n}, k)$. A graded k-vector space M is called *locally finite* if each homogeneous component of M has finite dimension. The following lemma is just an 'algebra' version of [5, Section 10.5 Lemma (the 'symmetric' case)].

Lemma 3.6 ([5, Section 10.5 Lemma (the 'symmetric' case)]). *Let A be an augmented dg algebra over R. Suppose $\mathrm{pdim} R_A < \infty$, $\mathrm{idim} R_A < \infty$ and $D(A)$ belongs to the smallest triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(A)$ closed under direct sums and containing R. Then $\mathcal{E}nd_A(D(A))$ is quasi-equivalent to A^{**}. We further assume $H^*(A)$ is locally finite, then A is quasi-equivalent to $\mathcal{E}nd_A(D(A))$. So A is quasi-equivalent to A^{**}.*

Let A be an augmented dg algebra over R and π denote the composition of the following morphisms $BA \xrightarrow{p} s\bar{A} \xrightarrow{s^{-1}} \bar{A} \xrightarrow{i} A$, where BA is the bar construction of A, p is the canonical projection and i is the inclusion morphism. Define a new differential d_π on $BA \otimes_R A$ as

$$d_{BA} \otimes_R id_A + id_{BA} \otimes_R d_A + (id_{BA} \otimes_R \mu)(id_{BA} \otimes_R \pi \otimes_R id_A)(\Delta_{BA} \otimes_R id_A),$$

where μ is the multiplication of A and Δ_{BA} is the comultiplication of BA. This new complex $(BA \otimes A, d_\pi)$ is denoted by $BA \otimes_\pi A$ and is called the *augmented bar construction* of A (see [7, Section 2.2.5]). $BA \otimes_\pi A$ is a right dg module over A and there is a quasi-isomorphism $\epsilon_{BA} \otimes \epsilon_A : BA \otimes_\pi A \to R$ (see [7, Proposition 2.2.8] or [2, Propositon 19.2]). In general, for a left dg module (M, μ_M) over A (where $\mu_M : A \otimes_R M \to M$ is the left A-module action), one can define a left dg comodules over BA which is denoted by $BA \otimes_\pi M$ whose underlying graded spaces is $BA \otimes_R M$ and whose differential is

$$d_{BA} \otimes id_M + id_{BA} \otimes d_M + (id_{BA} \otimes_R \mu_M)(id_{BA} \otimes_R \pi \otimes_R id_M)(\Delta_{BA} \otimes_R id_M).$$

Let $f : M \to N$ be a homomorphism of left dg A-modules, it's easy to check that $BA \otimes_R f : BA \otimes_\pi M \to BA \otimes_\pi N$ is a homomorphism of left dg comodules over BA. We get an exact functor $BA \otimes_\pi ?$ from the category of left dg modules over A to the category of left dg comodules over BA.

Since $BA \otimes_\pi A$ is a homotopically projection resolution of R, then $\mathcal{E}nd_A(BA \otimes_\pi A)$ is a Koszul dual of A. By the following lemma, we can take $D(BA)$ as a model of Koszul dual of A. In [10], for an augmented A_∞-algebra A over k, they define the Koszul dual of A as $D(BA)$. We need remark that since R is a symmetric k-algebra, for a graded R-bimodule M, there is a series of isomorphisms of graded R-bimodules $D(M) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{-,R}(M, R) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{R,-}(M, R)$. The first isomorphism $D(M) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{-,R}(M, R)$ will be used in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.7 ([2, section 19 exercise 4]). *For an augmented dg algebra A over R, there is a quasi-isomorphism $f\colon D(BA) \to \mathcal{E}nd_A(BA \otimes_\pi A)$ of dg algebras.*

Proof. The original statement of this lemma is for $R = k$, but the proof is similar. Here we give a sketch. First since BA is a dg coalgebra and R is a symmetric k-algebra, we have $D(BA) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{-,R}(BA, R)$ as dg algebras. $BA \otimes_\pi A$ is a left-$\mathcal{H}om_{-,R}(BA, R)$ right-A bimodule. So there is a natural homomorphism of dg algebra $f\colon \mathcal{H}om_{-,R}(BA, R) \to \mathcal{E}nd_A(BA \otimes_\pi A)$. We need to show f is a quasi-isomorphism. Since $BA \otimes_\pi A$ is the augment bar resolution of right dg module R over A, there is a quasi-isomorphism $\epsilon_{BA} \otimes_R \epsilon\colon BA \otimes_\pi A \to R$, where ϵ_{BA} is the canonical projection $BA \to R$ and ϵ is the canonical projection $A \to R$. Apply the dg functor $\mathcal{H}om_A(BA \otimes_\pi A, ?)$ to $\epsilon_{BA} \otimes_R \epsilon$ we get a quasi-isomorphism $\mathcal{E}nd_A(BA \otimes_\pi A) \to \mathcal{H}om_A(BA \otimes_\pi A, R)$ of R-bimodules. There is an exact sequence of right dg module over A

$$0 \to BA \otimes_\pi \bar{A} \xrightarrow{id \otimes_R i} BA \otimes_\pi A \xrightarrow{id \otimes_R \epsilon} BA \otimes_\pi R \to 0.$$

Here i is the inclusion $\bar{A} \to A$ and $BA \otimes_\pi R = BA \otimes_R R$. Apply $\mathcal{H}om_A(?, R)$ to the above exact sequence, we get the following exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{H}om_A(BA \otimes_\pi R, R) \to \mathcal{H}om_A(BA \otimes_\pi A, R) \xrightarrow{(id \otimes_R i)^*} \mathcal{H}om_A(BA \otimes_\pi \bar{A}, R).$$

Since the morphism $(id \otimes_R i)^* = 0$, we get $\mathcal{H}om_A(BA \otimes_\pi A, R) \cong \mathcal{H}om_A(BA \otimes_R R, R) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{R=A/\bar{A}}(BA \otimes_R R, R) = \mathcal{H}om_{-,R}(BA, R) \cong \mathcal{H}om_k(BA, k)$. So there is a quasi-isomorphism $g\colon \mathcal{E}nd_A(BA \otimes_\pi A) \to \mathcal{H}om_{-,R}(BA, R)$. By directly computation we have $g \circ f = id$, so f is a quasi-isomorphism. □

The following lemma is crucial in the proof of our main result.

Lemma 3.8. *Let Q be a positively graded quiver and A a path-A_∞-algebra on Q. Suppose U is the enveloping algebra of A (see proposition 2.4). Then U is quasi-equivalent to U^{**}.*

Proof. Since U is the enveloping algebra of A, U is an augmented dg algebra over $R := kQ_0$ and the homology $H^*(U) \cong H^*(A) = kQ$ is locally finite. By Lemma 3.6 we only need to show $pdim R_U < \infty$, $idim R_U < \infty$ and $D(A)$ belongs to the smallest triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(U)$ closed under direct sums and containing R.

Let V denote the graded vector space generated by all arrows in kQ and $i\colon V \to U$ be a section of R-bimodule. By a slight abuse of notation, the image of i is also denoted by V. We have a projective resolution of R over kQ as follow:

$$0 \to V \otimes_R kQ \xrightarrow{\mu} kQ \xrightarrow{p} R \to 0$$

where μ is the multiplication of kQ and p is the canonical projection. Because $H^*U \cong kQ$ as graded algebras, by [5, Theorem 3.1.c], the projective resolution of R yields a homotopically projective resolution $P \to R$ such that P admits a filtration right dg U-modules

$$0 \subseteq F_0 = U \subseteq F_1 = P$$

with $P/A \cong (V \otimes_R U)[1]$ in the homotopy category of right dg module over U. This implies that $pdim R < \infty$. Dually using [5, Theorem 3.2.c], one can show that $idim R < \infty$.

We view U as an augmented A_∞-algebra over kQ_0 and A as a minimal model of U. For convenience, we make the following convention. We use $\mathcal{D}(U)$ to denote the derived category of right dg modules over U and $\mathcal{D}(A)$ the derived category of right strictly unital A_∞-modules over A. $Loc(R)$ (*resp.* $Loc(R_A)$) denote the

the smallest triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(U)$ (*resp.* $\mathcal{D}(A)$) closed under arbitrary direct sums and containing R.

It remains to show that $D(U) \in Loc(R)$. Since U is a dg algebra and A is a minimal model of U, by Proposition 2.9 and Remark 2.8, there is a triangulated equivalence between $\mathcal{D}(U)$ and $\mathcal{D}(A)$ which induces a triangulated equivalence between $Loc(R)$ and $Loc(R_A)$. To show $D(U) \in Loc(R)$ is equivalent to show that $D(U)$, which is viewed as an right A_∞-module over A, belongs to $Loc(R_A)$.

By Proposition 2.10, let $(H^*(D(U)), m_2, m_3, \ldots)$ be a minimal model of $D(U)$ as right A_∞-module over A. Since $H^*(D(U))$ is isomorphic to $D(kQ)$ as graded R-bimodule, $H^*(D(U))$ is concentrated in nonpositive degrees. Note that m_n is of degree $2-n$ for $n \geq 2$, it is easy to see $H^*(D(U))$ admits a filtration of A_∞-submodules $\{F_p\}$ for $p \geq 0$ defined as follow: $F_0 = D(R) \cong R$, $F_p = \bigoplus_{n \geq -p} H^*(D(U))^n$ for $p > 0$. By construction F_{p+1}/F_p is concentrated in degree $-p-1$ for $p \geq 0$. Therefore $F_{p+1}/F_p \in \mathrm{Add}(R)$ and there is a series of exact sequences of A_∞-modules

$$0 \to F_p \xrightarrow{i} F_{p+1} \to F_{p+1}/F_p \to 0$$

for $p \geq 0$. By [6, Proposition 5.2], we obtain the following series of triangles in $D(A)$:

$$F_p \to F_{p+1} \to F_{p+1}/F_p \to F_p[1]$$

for $p \geq 0$. By induction, all $F_p \in Loc(R_A)$ for $p \geq 0$. Since $\varinjlim F_p = H^*(D(U))$, we get a triangle

$$\bigoplus_{p\geq 0} F_p \xrightarrow{\Phi} \bigoplus_{p\geq 0} F_p \to H^*(D(U)) \to (\bigoplus_{p\geq 0} F_p)[1]$$

in $D(H^*(U))$, where Φ has components $F_p \xrightarrow{[1,-i]} F_p \bigoplus F_{p+1} \to \bigoplus_{q\geq 0} F_q$. This implies that $H^*(D(U)) \in Loc(R_A)$. In addition, $D(U)$ is isomorphic to $H^*(D(U))$ in $\mathcal{D}(A)$, so $D(U) \in Loc(R_A)$. Therefore $D(U) \in Loc(R)$. □

Theorem 3.9. *Let $(A, m_2, \ldots)$ be a path A_∞-algebra over a positively graded quiver Q. Then A is quasi-equivalent to kQ which is viewed as a dg algebra with trivial differential. In particular, the derived category of right strictly unital A_∞-modules over A is triangulated equivalent to the derived category of right dg module over kQ.*

Proof. The main technique we use here is Koszul duality for dg algebras. A is an augmented A_∞-algebra over $R := kQ_0$, let U be the enveloping algebra of A. By definition we need to show that U is quasi-equivalent to kQ. By Proposition 2.4, there is an A_∞-quasi-isomorphism of augmented A_∞-algebras $f\colon A \to U$ which preserves augmentations. We choose a 'proper' homotopically projection resolution of R to compute the Koszul dual of U. Let V denote the graded vector space generated by all arrows in kQ.

Because $H^*U \cong H^*A \cong kQ$ as graded algebras, as proved in Lemma 3.8, there is a homotopically projective resolution $P \to R_U$ such that P admits a filtration of right dg U-modules

$$0 \subseteq F_0 = U \subseteq F_1 = P$$

such that $P/U \cong (V \otimes_R U)[1]$. We mention that in Keller's construction (see [5, Theorem 3.2.c]) one can choose P to be an R-bimodule and all morphisms in the above triangle to be homomorphisms of R-bimodules. By definition $U^* = \mathcal{E}nd_U(P)$.

Next we compute the cohomology of U^*. We have the following triangle

$$U \to P \to (V \otimes U)[1] \to U[1]$$

in $\mathcal{D}(U)$, the derived category of right dg modules over U. Applying $\mathcal{D}(U)(?, R)$ to this triangle we get a long exact sequence of R-bimodules:

$$\cdots \to \mathcal{D}(U)((V \otimes_R U)[n+1], R) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(U)(P[n], R) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(U)(U[n], R) \to \cdots$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}((V \otimes U)[n+1], R) &= H^{-n-1}\mathcal{H}om_U(V \otimes U, R)\\ &\cong H^{-n-1}\mathcal{H}om_R(V, \mathcal{H}om_U(U, R))\\ &= H^{-n-1}\mathcal{H}om_R(V, R)\end{aligned}$$

Since R is a dg U-module concentrated in degree 0, we also have $\mathcal{D}_U(U[n], R) = H^{-n}(R) = 0$ if $n \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{D}_U(U, R) = R$. Together with $D(V) = \mathcal{H}om_R(V, R)$ is concentrated in negative degrees with trivial differential, we get that $H^*(U^*) \cong \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{D}_U(P, R[n]) \cong R \oplus (D(V))[-1]$ as graded R-bimodule. By Lemma 3.7, $D(BU)$ is quasi-isomorphic to U^* and $D(BU)$ is augmented, it follows that the minimal model A' of $D(BU)$ is an augmented A_∞-algebra with underlying graded R-bimodule $R \oplus (D(V))[-1]$.

Next we prove that the multiplications except m_2 of A' vanish. By Lemma 3.8, U is quasi-equivalent to U^{**}, which implies that $H(U^{**}) \cong kQ$ as R-bimodules. Since $D(B(D(BU)))$ is quasi-isomorphic to U^{**} and $D(B(?))$ preserves A_∞-quasi-isomorphism, we have $D(BA')$ is quasi-isomorphic to U^{**}. By direct computation $D(BA') \cong kQ$ as R-bimodule (this is because the augmentation ideal of A' is isomorphic to $(D(V)[-1]$ as R-bimodule), it forces that the differential of $D(BA')$ vanishes and $D(BA') \cong kQ$ as dg algebra. Combining the facts U is quasi-equivalent to U^{**} and U^{**} is quasi-isomorphic to $D(BA')$, we obtain that U is quasi-equivalent to kQ. □

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a compactly generated (k-linear) algebraic triangulated category. Let $\mathbb{S}$ denote a set of compact generators of $\mathcal{T}$. Assume that $\mathbb{S}$ is a finite set and objects of $\mathbb{S}$ are pairwise non-isomorphic. Let $A_\mathcal{T}$ denote the graded algebra structure on the graded vector space $\bigoplus\limits_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\bigoplus\limits_{X,Y\in\mathbb{S}} Hom_T(X, \sum^n Y)$ whose multiplication is induced by the composit of morphisms in $\mathcal{T}$.

As an application of Theorem 3.9 , we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.10. *Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a (k-linear) algebraic triangulated category compactly generated by a finite set of objects. $A_\mathcal{T}$ is defined as above. If $A_\mathcal{T}$ is isomorphic to a path algebra of the positively graded quiver Q, then $\mathcal{T}$ is triangulated equivalent to the derived category of kQ viewed as a dg algebra with trivial differential.*

Proof. By [8, Theorem 7.6.0.4], there is a minimal strictly unital A_∞-category (see [6, Section 7.2]) $\mathcal{A}$ whose set of objects is $\mathbb{S}$ such that $\mathcal{T}$ is triangulated equivalent to a derived category $D_\infty(\mathcal{A})$ of strictly unital A_∞-modules over $\mathcal{A}$. By construction, $Hom_\mathcal{A}(X, Y)^i \cong Hom_\mathcal{T}(X, \sum^n Y)$ for $X, Y \in \mathbb{S}$. Let $R = \prod_{X\in obj\mathcal{A}} k$ and $\tilde{A} = \bigoplus\limits_{X,Y\in\mathbb{S}} Hom_\mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ be the Auslander algebra of $\mathcal{A}$. Then $\tilde{A}$ is a minimal strictly unital A_∞-algebra over R and $D_\infty(A)$ is triangulated-equivalent to $D_\infty(\mathcal{A})$. Since $\tilde{A} \cong A_\mathcal{T}$ as graded algebra, $kQ_0 \cong R$ and $\tilde{A}$ concentrated in nonnegative degrees with 0th degree R. Then $\tilde{A}$ is augmented over R and is a path A_∞-algebra of positively graded quiver Q. Then by Theorem 3.9, $\tilde{A}$ is quasi-equivalent to $A_\mathcal{T}$. So $\mathcal{T}$ is triangulated equivalent to the derived category of $A_\mathcal{T}$ viewed as a dg algebra with trivial differential. □

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to Professor Dong Yang for his instructions and suggestions, to Professor Xiao-Wu Chen for his initial idea of this paper and to Professor Yu Ye for the guidance over the past years.

References

[1] M. Auslander, I. Reiten and S.O. Smalø, *Representation Theory of Artin Algebras*, Cambridge Studies in Adv. Math. **36**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[2] Flix Y., Halperin S. and Thomas J.-C., *Rational homotopy theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 205. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001. ISBN: 0-387-95068-0.

[3] T.V. Kadeishvili, *The algebraic structure in the homology of an $A(\infty)$-algebra (Russian)*, Soobshch. Akad. Nauk Gruzin. SSR 108 (1982), 249–252.

[4] T.V. Kadeishvili, *The functor D for a category of $A(\infty)$-algebras (Russian)*, Soobshch. Akad. Nauk Gruzin. SSR 125 (1987), 273–276.

[5] Bernhard Keller, *Deriving DG Categories*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 27 (1994), no.1, 63–102.

[6] Bernhard Keller, *Introduction to A-infinity algebras and modules*, Homology Homotopy Appl. 3(2001), no.1, 1–35 (electronic).

[7] Loday, Jean-Louis and Vallette, Bruno, *Algebraic operads*, Springer,2012.

[8] Kenji Lefèvre-Hasegawa, *Sur les A-infini catégories*, Thése de doctorat, Université Paris VII, 2005. http://webusers.imj-prg.fr/~bernhard.keller/lefevre/publ.html

[9] Di Ming Lu, John H. Palmieri, Quan Shui Wu, and James J. Zhang, *A_∞-algebras for ring theorists*, Algebra Colloquium (Vol. 11, pp. 91–128).

[10] Di Ming Lu, John H. Palmieri, Quan Shui Wu, and James J. Zhang, *Koszul equivalences in A_∞-algebras*, New York J. Math 14 (2008), 325–378.

[11] Valery A. Lunts, *Formality of DG algebras (after Kaledin)*, J. Algebra **323** (2010), no. 4, 878–898.

[12] James Dillon Stasheff, *Homotopy associativity of H-spaces.I,II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 108(1963),275–292;ibid. 108 (1963), 293–312.

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, P. R. China

E-mail address: suhao@mail.ustc.edu.cn